Humans have been infected with *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* (Mtb) for thousands of years. While tuberculosis (TB), one of the deadliest infectious diseases, is caused by uncontrolled Mtb infection, over 90% of presumed infected individuals remain asymptomatic and contain Mtb in a latent TB infection (LTBI) without ever developing disease, and some may clear the infection. A small number of heavily Mtb-exposed individuals appear to resist developing traditional LTBI. Because Mtb has mechanisms for intracellular survival and immune evasion, successful control involves all of the arms of the immune system. Here, we focus on immune responses to Mtb in humans and nonhuman primates and discuss new concepts and outline major knowledge gaps in our understanding of LTBI, ranging from the earliest events of exposure and infection to success or failure of Mtb control.
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Humans have been infected with Mycobacterium tuberculosis (Mtb) for thousands of years. While tuberculosis (TB), one of the deadliest infectious diseases, is caused by uncontrolled Mtb infection, over 90% of presumed infected individuals remain asymptomatic and contain Mtb in a latent TB infection (LTBI) without ever developing disease, and some may clear the infection. A small number of heavily Mtb-exposed individuals appear to resist developing traditional LTBI. Because Mtb has mechanisms for intracellular survival and immune evasion, successful control involves all of the arms of the immune system. Here, we focus on immune responses to Mtb in humans and nonhuman primates and discuss new concepts and outline major knowledge gaps in our understanding of LTBI, ranging from the earliest events of exposure and infection to success or failure of Mtb control.

Introduction

Mycobacterium tuberculosis (Mtb), a bacterium transmitted through respiratory droplets, is one of the most successful human pathogens. With approximately 10 million cases and 1.45 million associated deaths per year, tuberculosis (TB), which is caused by uncontrolled Mtb infection, is the world’s most lethal infectious disease next to COVID-19 (1). Failure of TB control programs and the lack of a highly efficacious vaccine against TB have refocused attention on the earliest events in TB pathogenesis — the acquisition and control of Mtb bacilli in the human lung. Because of its ability to infect and survive in macrophages (reviewed in ref. 2), Mtb can persist and cause, in most individuals, a clinically inap -
Table 1. Major human defense mechanisms in Mtb exposure and infection

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Biological attribute</th>
<th>Colonization and early clearance</th>
<th>Resister</th>
<th>Traditional LTBI</th>
<th>At risk for TB</th>
<th>Progressor/Incipient TB</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lung pathology</td>
<td>No infection/no granuloma</td>
<td>Infection? Granuloma?</td>
<td>Latent infected controlled granuloma</td>
<td>Active granuloma</td>
<td>Uncontrolled infection/ granuloma breakdown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mtb burden</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>(+)</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Host defense mechanisms</td>
<td>Inflammation</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mechanical</td>
<td>Cilia/defensins</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Innate immunity</td>
<td>Macrophages</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>(+)</td>
<td>(X)</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Neutrophils</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>(X)</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lymphocytes</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>(X)</td>
<td>(X)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adaptive immunity</td>
<td>T cells</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>(+)</td>
<td>(X)</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B cells/Abs</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>(+)</td>
<td>(X)</td>
<td>(X)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- absent; +, present; (+), probably present but data limited; ?, unknown; (X), probably failing but data limited; X, failure and/or imbalance.

Human granuloma models allow for analyses of early host-pathogen interactions during Mtb infection (reviewed in ref. 28). They bring together cells such as mononuclear phagocytes, lymphocytes, fibroblasts, and epithelial cells, and allow investigation of the impact of different human immune components on early granuloma formation. Mycobacterial growth inhibition assays are another tool for in vitro/ex vivo assessment of immune responses to Mtb in humans (reviewed in ref. 29). While these in vitro systems have limitations, such as short infection duration, limited cell type diversity, and inability to model kinetics of immune cell recruitment, these models likely will continue to become more sophisticated and contribute to our understanding of human granuloma formation.

**Development and spectrum of LTBI**

Based on animal studies, after inhalation some Mtb bacilli reach distal alveolar spaces where they are engulfed by alveolar macrophages. Based on animal studies, after inhalation some Mtb bacilli reach distal alveolar spaces where they are engulfed by alveolar macrophages, resident dendritic cells (DCs), and/or recruited mononuclear phagocytes (reviewed in refs. 18, 30). Infected cells travel to local lymphoid tissues (e.g., bronchus-associated lymphoid tissue or mediastinal lymph nodes) where Mtb antigens are processed and presented by DCs to initiate an adaptive immune response. In most, this results in pulmonary granuloma formation, which controls or eliminates Mtb (reviewed in refs. 4, 18). Failure of adaptive, mostly cell-mediated immune responses to control Mtb, as seen for example in newborns and advanced HIV disease, results in direct progression from infection to pulmonary or disseminated TB (reviewed in refs. 31–33).

Studies in macaques have expanded our understanding of immune mechanisms in LTBI (reviewed in refs. 17–21). These studies show that granulomas can be initiated by a single bacillus, are heterogeneous, and develop independent trajectories, with some becoming sterile, some containing small numbers of Mtb, and others progressing with necrosis and uncontrolled bacterial growth either naturally or when immune suppression is applied. NHP studies have also helped establish that controlled granulomas consist of a core of macrophages and neutrophils/polymorphonuclear cells surrounded by T and B cells expressing a balanced panel of proinflammatory (e.g., IFN-γ, IL-17, TNF-α) and antiinflammatory (e.g., IL-10, TGF-β) cytokines (reviewed in ref. 4), and that concurrent Mtb infection is protective against a secondary Mtb challenge (34). Understanding the differences between granulomas that control and those that do not control Mtb is a critical area of research.

In most individuals who are not overtly immune-compromised, adaptive immune responses control Mtb growth, primarily through T cells, which, through secretion of cytokines such as IFN-γ and TNF-α and cytolytic function, promote the ability of macrophages to control the growth of Mtb (reviewed in refs. 18, 35). The majority (about 90%) of these individuals do not progress from infection to disease (reviewed in refs. 3, 4). Evidence that they have been exposed to Mtb and are likely infected stems from their positive TST and/or IGRA, in which case they meet the criteria for having LTBI (3, 6–8). The TST is based on a delayed-type hypersensitivity response to a mixture of 100–200 denatured Mtb proteins and peptides, referred to as purified protein derivative (PPD). Because many proteins in PPD are also found in other mycobacteria, including the current TB vaccine strain *M. bovis* bacillus Calmette-Guérin (BCG) (36), responses to PPD may not be Mtb specific. The more Mtb-specific blood-based IGRA measures CD4+ T cell responses to peptides from Mtb-specific proteins, such as ESAT6, CFP10, and TB10.4, which are not generated by most nontuberculous mycobacteria and BCG.

Epidemiologic and cohort studies indicate that the risk of progression from LTBI to disease is around 5%–10% and is greatest in the first 1–2 years after TST/IGRA conversion (37–40). This observation suggests that in recent TST/IGRA converters, progression from infection to disease reflects poor control of the initial Mtb infection, allowing continued slow Mtb replication until the uncontrolled infection becomes clinically apparent. In children, very high versus low IGRA responses can differentiate risk of progression to TB, but the magnitude of response is of less value in adults (41, 42) and does not reflect mycobacterial burden or state of protective immune activation in LTBI. Some individuals progress from LTBI to TB years later, but estimates of rates vary widely (reviewed in ref. 43). Epidemiologic studies on the impact of immunosuppression (e.g., HIV infection, anti-TNF therapy, and organ or bone marrow transplantation) on people with LTBI...
estimate that only a minority develop TB (reviewed in ref. 5). Because progression is seen in non-TB-endemic settings where the risk for Mtb reinfection is low, these data suggest that those who progressed harbored viable Mtb whereas those who did not may have cleared the bacilli. Biomarker studies are making inroads into determining who is at risk for progression from LTBI to TB (reviewed in ref. 44), but prospective validation studies are needed to determine the ability of these biomarkers to estimate Mtb exposure and infection, size of mycobacterial burden, and level of protective immunity.

While some people with heavy Mtb exposure appear to resist what we define as LTBI (reviewed in ref. 45 and discussed below), many individuals with LTBI who progress to TB do not have an obvious acquired immunodeficiency or risk factor, suggesting potential undefined genetic risk factors. Higher rates of TB in monozygotic than in dizygotic twins provided evidence for a role for human genetics (46). Furthermore, Mendelian susceptibility to mycobacterial disease (MSMD) has defined the importance of the IFN-γ/Stat1/IL-12 axis for host defenses against mycobacteria, including Mtb (47). However, genetic association studies have yet to directly link a gene, locus, or gene network with a specific mechanism to explain resistance or susceptibility to TB (reviewed in ref. 48). New data indicate genetic variations associated with TST conversion in Brazilian TB household contacts (49), but more studies focusing on earlier phases of TB pathogenesis, including susceptibility to Mtb infection and development of LTBI, are needed.

In TB-endemic settings the vast majority of people with LTBI are unable to pinpoint a recent Mtb exposure, remain well, and do not progress to TB. The term LTBI implies that small numbers of “latent” but viable Mtb bacilli are contained in granulomas and cannot progress to TB. Improved understanding of all of the immune spectrum of immune activation and its correlation with Mtb control Mtb directly, studies using PET-CT can provide insight into this combination of B and T cell responses that help macrophages able to control Mtb without help from T cells? Do resisters have an alternative T cell response not measured by TST/IGRA that clears and/or controls Mtb? Is there a role for protective B cell responses? Is there a role for genetics? As with traditional LTBI, the inability to detect Mtb does not allow us to determine whether and which resisters could be latently infected or may have cleared Mtb (5, 56). Understanding the host response and immune mechanism(s) of these LTBI resisters may identify novel protective immune responses to Mtb.

Based on cohort studies of Ugandan household contacts who were highly exposed to Mtb but remained TST and IGRA negative during an almost decade-long follow-up period, we have evidence for differences in both innate and adaptive immune responses (52, 56). Monocyte-derived macrophages from resisters and people with LTBI differed in gene expression and metabolic programs in response to Mtb, suggesting their contribution to resistance to a traditional LTBI response (57, 58). In addition, we found non–IFN-γ T cell responses to the Mtb-specific proteins ESAT6 and CFP10 in resisters, while their overall T cell responses revealed normal IFN-γ responses (56). These non–IFN-γ T cell responses were associated with Mtb-specific antibody profiles and characteristics, indicating that resisters were Mtb-exposed. Among Indonesian TB household contacts, those resisting Mtb infection had evidence for trained immune responses (59). Importantly, while the cohort was small, there was no evidence that resisters were at increased risk of progression to TB, i.e., their immune responses were adequate to control their exposure to aerosolized Mtb. Based on these data, we believe that these Ugandan resisters may have developed an alternative form of LTBI. Resisters might have enhanced macrophage capacity to control Mtb, due to either trained immunity or genetic factors, and less need for an expansive T cell response. Alternatively, resisters may have a unique combination of B and T cell responses that help macrophages control Mtb. Some elements of these resisters’ immune responses likely are also present in subsets of people with traditional LTBI. Studies of the immune responses of well-characterized resisters from various settings may provide insights into alternative mechanisms of protection against Mtb, TB host-directed therapies, and approaches to vaccine development.

Resistance to traditional LTBI

In TB endemic settings or environments with heavy Mtb exposure (e.g., sharing a berthing compartment at sea with an individual with pulmonary TB), some people remain TST and/or IGRA negative (reviewed in ref. 45). Recent studies from Uganda, India, and Indonesia have extended these earlier observations of individuals who appear to resist the development of “traditional” LTBI despite extensive Mtb exposure (50–54). We estimate that 5%–10% of adult TB household contacts in a TB-endemic urban environment such as Kampala, Uganda remain TST/IGRA negative and clinically well after prolonged follow-up (53). Furthermore, approximately 10% of South African miners, who may have the strongest evidence for the dominant role of CD4+ T cells in
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controlling Mtb (reviewed in ref. 33). Murine MHC-II knockout and NHP CD4+ T cell depletion studies further support this central role of MHC-II–restricted CD4+ T cells (reviewed in ref. 35). Polyclonal CD4+ T cells expressing IFN-γ, TNF-α, and IL-2 are associated with protective responses (62), and effector/memory CD4+ T cells responsive to Mtb antigens are found in the bronchoalveolar lavage fluid of people with LTBI (63). In addition, CD4+ Treg and Th17 responses to Mtb are found in LTBI, but their role in controlling Mtb infection is less clear.

Mtb-activated human CD4+ T cells help macrophages control intracellular mycobacteria through secretion of cytokines and cytotoxic T lymphocyte function (ref. 64 and reviewed in refs. 35, 65). In addition to these direct effector roles, CD4+ T cell subsets also provide important helper functions for other immune cells involved in LTBI, including help for CD8+ T cell and DURT expansion, and for antibody production by B cells (reviewed in refs. 66, 67). While the central role of CD4+ T cells in LTBI and protection against TB is well established, the key Mtb antigens recognized by protective T cells have not been identified. CD4+ T cells (and CD8+ T cells; see below) from people with LTBI demonstrate broad reactivity to Mtb peptides (60, 68), but only a limited number of antigens are recognized by most individuals with LTBI. Antigens expressed by MHC molecules on Mtb-infected cells remain largely unknown. Identifying these antigens is essential to define the key protective T cells for LTBI.

Mtb’s evasion of T cell recognition

Elegant cell biology and functional studies have defined a number of molecular mechanisms used by Mtb to resist innate immune mechanisms in macrophages and DCs, including disruption of progression to phagolysosome fusion, and resisting of killing by superoxide, autophagy, and apoptosis (reviewed in refs. 30, 35, 65, 71, 72). Mtb can also indirectly and directly interfere with recognition of infected cells by CD4+ T cells (Figure 1). For example, Mtb lipoproteins can activate TLR2 signaling in macrophages, which inhibits IFN-γ-driven expression of MHC-II molecules (73); Mtb’s secreted protein EsxH can interfere with CD4+ T cell activation (74); and Mtb-infected DCs can export antigens to uninfected cells, thereby limiting their antigen presentation to and activation of CD4+ T cells (75).

Mtb resides in macrophage phagosomes, which resemble an endosomal recycling compartment that traffics molecules and bacterial vesicles. Release of bacterial microvesicles allows Mtb products, which include lipids, proteins, and glycolipids such as liparabinomannan (LAM), to reach T cells in the proximity of infected cells (76–78). Exposure of CD4+ T cells to LAM or LAM-containing microvesicles inhibits proximal T cell receptor–CD3 signaling, which induces GRAIL (gene regulating anergy in lymphocytes), rendering LAM-exposed CD4+ T cells anergic (79). Similar inhibitory mechanisms are likely applicable to CD8+ T cells, and DURTs, since they all rely on CD3 for activation. Despite these known molecular mechanisms, identifying Mtb antigens remains an urgent challenge.
direct and indirect mechanisms of Mtb interference with T cell recognition of infected cells, questions remain: (a) Do these evasion mechanisms impact non-CD4+ T cells? (b) During which stages of Mtb infection and disease do they affect the immune response? (c) Which of these different T cell evasion mechanisms dominates, and at what stage of Mtb pathogenesis in vivo?

Antibodies and B cells in LTBI

Antibodies may contribute to long-term Mtb control in LTBI (reviewed in refs. 80–84). Serum IgG from individuals exposed to or latently infected with Mtb can be protective in vitro and in vivo against Mtb (85–87). Mtb resisters carry IgM against ESAT6 and CFP10 and other Mtb antigens and have class-switched IgG antibody responses, suggesting a role in these persistently TST/IGRA-negative but heavily Mtb-exposed individuals (56). In contrast, few studies support a protective role for anti-Mtb antibodies from TB patients (88).

Antibodies can bind mycobacterial surface molecules and interact with Fc receptors (FcRs) on phagocytes (reviewed in refs. 80–84). While binding to surface molecules can activate complement and prevent bacterial adhesion and invasion of host cells, subclasses or isotypes and their distinct Fc glycosylation profiles can influence FcR-mediated effects, including inflammatory versus noninflammatory responses. Through FcγR, mycobacterial multi- and single-antigen-specific polyclonal IgG from asymptomatic Mtb-exposed and infected people can enhance Mtb phagocytosis and growth inhibition, and antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity (85, 87, 89). Enhanced cytotoxic responses mediated mostly by FcγRIIa (CD16) and NK cells were also observed in LTBI (87, 90). These data demonstrate the important interplay between antibodies and the innate immune system in LTBI.

The range of mycobacterial antigens targeted by protective antibodies remains poorly understood. Transfer studies with murine IgG or IgA monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) in Mtb-infected mouse models suggest that antibodies targeting the surface glycan arabinomannan (AM), the glycolipid lipoarabinomannan (LAM), the surface protein heparin-binding hemagglutinin (HBHA), the heat shock protein HspX, and the 38-kDa adhesion protein PstS1 might be protective (reviewed in ref. 91). Vaccination with AM and antigen 85 followed by passive transfer of antibodies was moderately protective against Mtb in mice (92). In humans, antibodies against antigen 85 and AM/LAM appear to be protective (85, 93, 94), but experimental data with human mAbs remain scarce.

Attempts to identify significantly different antigen-specific antibody responses in LTBI versus TB are ongoing, but have provided few conclusions to date (95, 96). In both NHPs and humans, antibody responses to Mtb are heterogeneous (85, 97–101), likely because of granuloma heterogeneity (reviewed in ref. 19), large numbers of differentially expressed Mtb antigens (102), and/or prior exposure to BCG and/or nontuberculous mycobacteria (85, 100, 103). This heterogeneity contributes to the challenges of delineating specific protective antibodies against Mtb.

A limited number of functional human multi- or single-antigen-specific polyclonal antibody studies have been performed (56, 85–88, 104). Protective ex vivo efficacy was reversed when total serum IgG from asymptomatic health care workers was preabsorbed with Mtb (86). We found that anti-AM IgG isolated from high-titer asymptomatic TST-positive individuals was protective in vitro and in vivo (85). In line with serum anti-AM IgG studies from an adult BCG vaccination trial (100), our data further suggested the importance of targeting specific glycan epitopes within AM and support the protective role of IgG to certain Mtb surface antigens and epitopes.

Efforts to generate human mAbs against Mtb-specific antigens/epitopes are ongoing (105, 106), which will help define the roles of variable and Fc domains. Human mAb isotypes against LAM and HBHA generated from plasmablasts and memory B cells of TB patients and Mtb-exposed health care workers demonstrated different effector functions (105); IgG enhanced and IgA inhibited Mtb uptake by human lung epithelial cells and macrophages, irrespective of the target, although neither differences in FcR expressions between these cell types nor effects on intracellular Mtb growth were taken into consideration.

Antibodies in the airways could serve as a first line of defense against inhaled Mtb (Figure 2). For example, secretory IgA could bind to Mtb antigens and thereby prevent Mtb adhesion to and infection of airway cells, while in parallel facilitating elimination of Mtb via mucociliary clearance. Passive transfer studies support a protective role of poly- and monoclonal IgG and IgA against Mtb in the airways (reviewed in refs. 83, 91; refs. 85, 86). Polyfunctional Th17 cells, IL-10, and increased airway IgA levels were associated with protection against Mtb in NHPs mucosally vaccinated with BCG (107), and mucosal vaccination of mice and NHPs with the MTBVAC vaccine indicated a role of mucosal secretory antibodies against Mtb (108). The role of antibodies after intravenous BCG, shown to be more protective than airway vaccination, remains to be determined (109).

Antibodies also can synergize with T cells in controlling Mtb (92), and, in addition to being influenced by T cells (reviewed in ref. 66), B cells may regulate T cell and cytokine responses during Mtb infection, thereby influencing inflammation and granuloma formation (reviewed in refs. 110, 111). B cells are present in the granulomatous lesions of Mtb-infected mice, non-human primates, and humans. Although inconsistent results of murine studies have led to controversy regarding the protective effects of B cells in Mtb infection (reviewed in ref. 110), recent data show an association of smaller lung B cell follicles with increased Mtb susceptibility in male versus female mice (112), and NHP studies support the beneficial effects of B cells in the lung. Despite a lack of difference in outcome between B cell–depleted and nondepleted Mtb-infected cynomolagus macaques, B cell depletion influenced local T cell and cytokine responses, resulting in increased Mtb burden at the granuloma level (113). Expanded B cell follicles in the lungs of Mtb- and SIV-coinfected rhesus macaques were also associated with lack of progression to TB (24).

In humans, household contacts with LTBI and TB patients were shown to have atypical B cell phenotypes associated with a compromised T cell response, which, in TB patients, resolved after antituberculous treatment (114). These atypical B cells showed diminished proliferation and immunoglobulin and cytokine production, supporting their lack of function in TB. Circulating naive B cells are reduced in LTBI, possibly as a result of sequestration at the site of infection (90). B cells form prominent aggregates in the lungs of Mtb-infected humans, NHPs, and mice (24, 115–118). Nevertheless,
because of the conflicting associations with disease outcome, the role of these lung B cell aggregates remains to be determined.

Overall, many questions remain regarding the roles of antibodies and B cells in the defense against Mtb: (a) What are the critical antigens in antibody-mediated immunity against Mtb? (b) How do epitope specificity and Fc glycosylation influence success and failure of Mtb control? (c) What are the protective roles and mechanisms of IgG, IgA, and IgM during Mtb exposure and infection? (d) Do antibodies have direct effects on Mtb? (e) What are the essential interactions between the humoral and other immune arms in the defense against Mtb? (f) What role do B cells and pulmonary B cell aggregates have in Mtb infection? A better understanding of these roles will inform immunotherapy and TB vaccine development.

**Innate immune responses and LTBI**

Innate immune cells, both lymphoid and myeloid, have a central role in the host response to Mtb (reviewed in refs. 30, 35, 61, 119). Recent studies have expanded our understanding of the range of innate cells, such as the myeloid-derived polymorphonuclear cells (PMNs) and innate lymphoid cells and DURTs (discussed above), involved in responses to Mtb and influencing the complexity of macrophage responses. Nevertheless, whereas the centrality of macrophages as nidus and site of Mtb control in LTBI is well established, the role of other innate cells in LTBI is less clear. In vivo innate responses upon Mtb infection can only be studied in experimental animals, and in vitro studies of macrophage functions are primarily performed with bone marrow–derived macrophages from C57BL/6 mice, considered resistant to Mtb infection, and with human blood monocyte-derived macrophages and macrophage cell lines. Where results from these studies fit in the spectrum from Mtb exposure to LTBI and TB in humans is not straightforward.

As a facultative intracellular pathogen in macrophages, Mtb depends on phagocytosis for host cell entry. Thus, the receptor repertoire of these cells defines infectivity and shapes downstream host responses. Upon inhalation, Mtb trapped in the alveolar surfactant phospholipid layer can be bound by surfactant proteins A and D for indirect phagocytosis by alveolar macrophages, a defense mechanism deficient in the elderly (120). Once phagocytosed, Mtb proliferates in macrophages by interfering with phagosome maturation through cell wall glycolipids (72, 121, 122). The mycobacterial phagosome communicates dynamically with endosomes and delivers mycobacterial antigens into the lysosomal degradation pathway for antigen processing. During phagocytosis, Mtb also triggers a set of pattern recognition receptors, which induce both proinflammatory (IL-1,
IL-12/-23, TNF-α, and type I IFNs) and antiinflammatory (IL-10) responses (reviewed in refs. 123–125). Mtb cell wall glycolipids interacting with C-type lectins can switch a proinflammatory to an antiinflammatory IL-10 response (122, 126). Alveolar macrophages exhibit a predominantly antiinflammatory M2 phenotype, which Mtb can use to establish its intracellular niche (reviewed in refs. 72, 127).

Alveolar macrophages also transport Mtb into the bronchus-associated lymphoid tissue, where, in LTBI, they transfer antigens to DCs to trigger adaptive T cell responses that help control Mtb growth (reviewed in ref. 128). Recent studies suggest that group 3 innate lymphoid cells (ILC3s) are involved in Mtb control (129). These cells were associated with enhanced alveolar macrophage recruitment in the lungs of Mtb-infected mice and, when depleted, reduced bacterial control. In TB patients, ILC3 accumulated in the lungs and were depleted in the blood with normalization after TB treatment (129), but their role in LTBI remains to be determined. High levels of circulating NK cells in LTBI may also play a role in controlling Mtb during LTBI, which is further supported by the observation that NK cell levels are low in TB and return to baseline after TB treatment (90).

In LTBI, immune activation by IFN-γ, TNF-α, and autocrine IL-15 (probably reinforced by vitamin D₃) can enhance Mtb control by accelerating phagosome maturation, production of microbicidal effectors, augmented glycolysis, and induced autophagy (130–132). The relevance of autophagy as an anti-Mtb effector of activated macrophages remains to be determined (133).

Prior pathogen exposure can train innate immunity. For example, BCG vaccination can epigenetically prime NK cells and monocytes/macrophages for a more focused secondary response (reviewed in ref. 134). Distinct innate immune cell and cytokine responses in Indonesian TB household contacts support a role for trained immunity in early clearance of Mtb in humans (59). In mice infected intravenously with BCG or Mtb, IFN-γ was found to be an important factor in regulating macrophage trained immunity by enhancing myelopoiesis and expansion of lineage cKit+Sca1⁺ (LKS) bone marrow stem cells (135, 136). Mycobacterial interaction with LKS leads to innate imprinting of myeloid cells by altering their epigenetic profile, thereby rendering mature macrophages more effective against Mtb and likely contributing to trained immunity in LTBI (137).
The role(s) of PMNs in Mtb pathogenesis is an active area of research. In Mtb-resistant mice, numbers of infected PMNs are only transiently increased following infection (30). In contrast, susceptible mouse strains such as C3HeB/FeJ mice and NOS2- or Atg5-knockout mice had PMN infiltrates associated with exacerbation of necrotic granulomas and earlier death due to higher Mtb loads (133, 138, 139). These latter data indicate that NOS2 and Atg5 are essential to restrict Mtb growth, likely through interference with PMN influx and associated pathology. Recent data from Mtb-infected mice further suggest that long-lived PMNs can accumulate in the lungs and serve as an intracellular niche for Mtb growth and persistence (140).

Necrotic PMN-laden granulomas in susceptible mice share features with those found in infected NHPs and in TB patients, where PMNs represent the dominant Mtb-infected cell population (141, 142). The pro- and antiinflammatory cytokine profiles of PMNs in Mtb-infected NHP granulomas suggest that the cells have an important immunoregulatory role. The abundance of PMNs in human and NHP TB lesions, together with a PMN-associated transcriptomic signature in PBMCs of TB patients (143), and enhanced PMN-driven inflammation in TB patients with type 1 diabetes (144, 145), links PMNs with disease, rather than LTBI. However, it is not known whether PMNs drive disease progression, or whether they are attracted to granulomas as a result of failed Mtb control. In NHPs, PMNs are part of stable Mtb granulomas, and uptake of infected PMNs by DCs facilitates T cell priming in mice (146), suggesting a protective role. It therefore remains unclear whether PMNs, with the right balance of inflammatory effects, contribute to Mtb control after initial exposure and in LTBI.

In vitro, virulent Mtb strains drive PMNs quickly into necrotic cell death (147, 148). Necrotic Mtb-infected PMNs release neutrophil extracellular traps as an antimicrobial effector but do not kill Mtb. Instead, clearance of necrotic Mtb-infected PMNs by macrophages promotes mycobacterial growth in these more sustainable host cells. Subsequently, infected macrophages also succumb to necrotic cell death and release mycobacteria to infect new phagocytes, thereby continuing the infectious cycle. IL-8 from infected PMNs and macrophages feeds an influx of PMNs and sustains a cycle of host cell necrosis, necrophagocytosis (phagocytic removal of necrotic cellular debris), and bacterial growth in TB lesions (reviewed in ref. 149).

Mtb-triggered PMN necrosis requires myeloperoxidase-derived (MPO-derived) reactive oxygen species. Inhibition of MPO rescues infected PMNs from necrosis and restores the macrophage’s ability to control Mtb upon effectorcytosis of infected but apoptotic PMNs (148). Therefore, MPO and other factors associated with PMN-driven pathology may represent intriguing targets for host-directed therapy for TB, shifting the balance back toward LTBI (reviewed in refs. 35, 149–152). Yet only interactions between infected resting macrophages and PMNs have been studied (Figure 3). Thus, the impact of macrophage activation for dealing with infected PMNs remains to be determined (72). Overall, many questions on the role of innate cells in LTBI remain, including the role of trained immunity, macrophage heterogeneity and activation in granulomas, Mtb’s metabolic state, and the protective versus detrimental role of PMNs.

Conclusions
In most Mtb-infected individuals, LTBI is established through finely regulated immune responses. Summarizing known facts and important areas of LTBI research in humans and NHPs, we have pointed out critical gaps in understanding how the immune system protects against or controls Mtb. While the interaction between activated macrophages and CD4+ T cells is central for Mtb control in LTBI, recent discoveries reveal a more complex picture with roles for genetic factors, other T cell subsets, innate lymphoid cells, B cells and antibodies, trained immunity, and possibly more. Some host defenses may promote excessive inflammation, and, if not regulated properly, exacerbate pathology and facilitate progression to disease and Mtb transmission. LTBI and variants thereof, as seen in resisters, rely on both innate and adaptive immunity. The goal of parsing LTBI is to identify the immune mechanisms of the more than 90% who successfully control Mtb versus the few at risk for disease. Given our inability to distinguish who harbors dead versus live bacilli, and determine Mtb burden, LTBI remains an operational definition, hampering the triaging of care to those at greatest risk for progression to TB. Given the difficulty in identifying and preventing acute exposure and infection with Mtb in humans, animal and careful observational human studies are needed to determine the essential local immune responses necessary for elimination or long-term control of this wily pathogen with its plethora of immune evasion mechanisms.
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